## **EXHIBIT A**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page			
CON	ICISE	STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED iv			
CON	TROI	LLING OR MOST APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIESv			
TAB	LE OI	F AUTHORITIES vi			
I.	Intro	roduction1			
II.	Natu	ature of the Litigation and Settlement1			
III.	THE NOTICE PLAN SATISFIES DUE PROCESS4				
IV.	THE SETTLEMENT SHOULD RECEIVE FINAL APPROVAL6				
	A.	There is no risk of fraud or collusion7			
	В.	The complexity, expense, and likely duration of continued litigation weighs in favor of approving the proposed Settlement8			
	C.	The Parties conducted sufficient fact-finding10			
	D.	The Settlement provides the Class Members with favorable relief when weighed against the likelihood of success on the merits11			
	E.	Class counsel and Bowman support the Settlement13			
	F.	The reaction from the Class has been overwhelmingly positive13			
		1. Only 3 of 1,150,000 class members have objected14			
		2. Ms. Varchetti's objection to the notice is unfounded16			
		3. Varchetti's objection to the fee calculation and the total fund is inconsistent with standard class action practice19			
		4. Varchetti's reliance on <i>Snyder</i> is misplaced because Art Van is the only defendant with claims at issue25			

		5.	Mr. Waayenberg's objection suggests that the terms Settlement are fair and favorable to the Class	
		6.	Mr. Thompson's statement that he was personally unfile a claim with an affected phone number does not undercut the Settlement's fairness or adequacy	
	G.	Pub	lic interest supports approval of the Settlement	29
V.	CO	NCLU	SION	30